

18 January 1994

Our Ref:
Mater No:
Your Ref:

G
C
M
Smith
Rev.
Rich.
Ar
Pa.
Si
K.
Mell
Fra.
Roy I.

BY FAX: 287 7001

Mr Graham Schorer
PO Box 318
North Melbourne VIC 3051

Dear Sir

"COT CASES"

I confirm I have been appointed by the Telecommunications Industry Ombudsman (TIO) as assessor under the terms of the agreement entitled "Fast Track Settlement Proposal".

I will be assisted by a project team under the direction of [redacted] of [redacted]. The project team will include Mr Jan Blaha of DMR Group Australia Pty Ltd.

I am aware the parties are anxious for early resolution. My first priority will be to establish the process and procedure for conducting the assessment. In this regard I note paragraph 2(e) of the "Fast Track Settlement Proposal" provides that:

"The review will be primarily based on documents and written submissions. Each party will have access to the other party's submissions and have the opportunity to respond.

The assessor may, however, call for oral presentations by either party. Such presentations will not include cross-examination, and would not be open to the public or third parties. Representations of the parties will be at the assessor's discretion."

I have been provided by the TIO with a document entitled "Telstra Corporation Limited - 'Fast Track' Proposed Rules of Arbitration". I have not yet formed a view as to the suitability of this proposal. I would be happy to receive an alternative submission on behalf of the COT Cases but it might be more practical to await my comments on the Telecom proposal. Naturally I am anxious to establish a procedure which is acceptable to all parties.

melbourne
sydney
sydney west
brisbane
canberra
newcastle
represented in
adelaide
darwin

11183278_GLH/RS

Level 11, Collins Street, Melbourne 3000, Australia. Telephone: (61) 3 9214 0000
Facsimile: (61) 3 9214 0000, Melbourne 3000. Melbourne.

The Australian Member of Interlaw, an international association of law firms • Asia Pacific • The Americas • Europe • The Middle East

49

When I have formulated my views as to the appropriate procedure for conducting the assessment, I intend to meet formally with a representative of Telecom and a single representative of the four nominated COT Cases in order to finalise arrangements.

In the meantime I shall meet as soon as possible with Mr Rundell and Mr Blaha to discuss the roles of their respective organisations.

I consider it to be inappropriate for me to discuss the merits of the four actions with any involved party except in accordance with the agreed assessment procedure. I nevertheless wish to remain as accessible to the parties as possible. It may be necessary for a party to contact me personally from time to time for reasons unconnected with the merits of the actions. In such circumstances, I nevertheless reserve the right to provide any other party with a memorandum regarding the contact and the issues discussed.

At this stage I have no information at all regarding any of the claims. While the assessment procedure will of course provide for the formal presentation of material, it may be useful if the parties could informally provide me with any material which they jointly agree might be of assistance to me and the project team by way of background.

Yours sincerely

ARBITRATOR

CC. S
J
J
W
I