

FAX FROM: ALAN SMITH
C. O. T.

DATE: 20.6.95

FAX NO: 055 267 230

PHONE NO: 008 816 622

NUMBER OF PAGES (including this page)

FAX TO:

LAWYERS
MELBOURNE

Included with this fax are a number of documents:

- a. Copy of a letter I wrote to you on 15th August, 1994.
- b. Copy of a letter I wrote to Mr [redacted] of Telecom, dated 28/8/94
- c. Copy of a letter from Mr [redacted], Telecom Response Unit, dated 13/9/94

- A. Paragraph six of this letter asks you, through the Chair of the Arbitration Procedure, to access Raw data etc. to do with the Bell Canada Testing.
- B. This letter asks Mr [redacted] for assurances that ALL the Bell Canada Testing information which is available has been sent to me under the FOI Act.
- C. Paragraph five of Mr [redacted]'s letter states that it appears that the letter I wrote to Mr [redacted] relates to my request to Telecom for all the raw data associated with the Bell Canada Testing.

Paragraph six of Mr [redacted]'s letter states that there has been NO direction from the Arbitrator to supply any Bell Canada International documents to Alan Smith.

Dr Hughes, my letter of the 15/8/94, referred to in point A above, is in fact asking you to access this Bell Canada documentation one month before the letter from Mr [redacted], yet Telecom states that you did not seek a direction from Telecom for access to this information.

Right through the Arbitration procedure I have sought for this information because there has been continual conflict between Telecom and me regarding the validity of this testing, I am now left wondering: did you in fact request this data? If you did, then Telecom has wilfully withheld this information and once again they have lied in the Arbitration Procedure.

As a layman I can only ask a polite question: Did you ask for this Bell Canada information that I sought some 8 months prior to the handing down of the results of the Fast Track Arbitration Procedure?

Also included with this fax are three other documents, marked 1, 2 and 3. I received this information on 26/5/95, after the deliberation and your findings. These are, of course, just a few of the documents that show I was right from the very beginning of the Fast Track Settlement Proposal and Fast Track Arbitration Procedure. I knew all along that the Bell Canada Testing was flawed. Had I received this type of information as a result of my FOI requests, in the

beginning of the procedure, my expenses would have been minimal.

I leave this matter in your hands, with respect for your position. However, the question must be asked again: Did you request this Bell Canada data through the Chair of the Arbitration Procedure?

Respectfully,

Alan Smith