

17

I, ALAN SM **PROTECTED**

of CAPE BRIDGE WATER

in the State of Victoria

HOLIDAY CAMP PORTLAND

do solemnly and

3306

024

sincerely declare

THAT
At approximately 4.20 pm yesterday, I spoke to Detective Superintendent Jeff Penrose (Federal Police) regarding my concerns about what had just taken place.

Telecom had just returned to me, two (2) identical copies of an Austel letter addressed to Telecom attached to two (2) different types of header sheets of different dates.

My purpose for being at Telecom House was that when Telecom had originally supplied the F.O.I. documentation, they had somehow failed to supply the adjoining documentation that should have accompanied some of these Fax Header Sheets, (fifty six (56) header sheets in all).

It was now apparent my concerns were justified.

Telecom had no intention of supplying the full documentation either maliciously or by the fact of their own admission made yesterday by Mr Pollock the Telecom F.O.I. Officer, because that much of this documentation is out of the correct chronological order due to so many viewings that had taken place, either by Austel, Coopers & Lybrand, Commonwealth Ombudsmans Office and others. Mr Pollock also stated in the company of two (2) other Telecom employees, one male, one female, in the office provided for me, that because much of the F.O.I. documentation was so blanked out that it was hard to match the correct correspondence to the Telecom Header Sheets in question.

I asked Rod Pollock, how can I put my claim together if the material, that I have requested under the F.O.I. agreement is in such a mess, that even Telecom themselves, their own office, is unable to be sure that the information they are supplying to me is in fact the correct documents I originally applied for under the F.O.I. agreement.

Even though an office had been allocated for me, with a note on the door to that effect, "reserved from 8am to 6pm", the moment I brought to their attention the irregularities regarding the two Fax's in question, there was an immediate urgency to terminate my presence and I was asked to leave at 4.40 pm. These two Telecom employees made it known there was no bad feelings, however the male Officer also made it very clear, that like Rod Pollock had previously said, because of the way the F.O.I. documentation was laid out and had been viewed by so many different People and Departments etc., they were finding it hard to match the correct F.O.I. Fax Header Sheets to correspond with the original documentation.

With reference to this signed declaration and the admissions of these Telecom employees mentioned, one can only perhaps wonder for good reason, has the C.O.T. Case Members actually received their appropriate documentation under F.O.I. conditions (Act), which will allow them to have every opportunity to have their known communication faults shown by the correct data presented by Telecom?

AND I make this solemn declaration conscientiously believing the same to be true and by virtue of the provisions of an Act of the Parliament of Victoria rendering persons making a false declaration punishable for wilful and corrupt perjury.

DECLARED at Camberwell in the
State of Victoria this 14th
day of May One thousand
nine hundred 94

Before me:

N. D. Creasey

N. D. CREASEY
Senior Constable 21624

Camberwell Police Station
317 Camberwell Road,
Camberwell 3124

**FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 1982
(COMMONWEALTH)**
DOCUMENT HAS BEEN RELEASED
UNDER THE FOI ACT BY
THE AUSTRALIAN FEDERAL POLICE

PROTECTED

3



NOTED

DATE

[Faint, illegible text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page. The text is scattered across the page and is difficult to decipher.]

[Faint text at the bottom left corner.]